

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Addess (XMMMSSIONIKE FOR PATENTS
FO. Dot. 149
Alexadias, Viguna 2211-1450
sees unjug ger

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/598,731	06/21/2000	Roy R. Weidman	13368	7041
75	90 07/08/2003			
Paul J Esatto Jr			FXAMINER	
Scully Scott Mu 400 Garden City			LE, DANG D	ANG D
Garden City, N	Y 11530		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	

DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/598,731	WEIDMAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Dang D Le	2834		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 28 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension se have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of thee. The appropriate extension see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action, or 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of the final rejection, even if
mely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. \(\text{\text{\$\subset\$}} \) The a) \(\text{\$\subset\$} \) affidavit, b) \(\text{\$\subset\$} \) exhibit, or c) \(\text{\text{\$\subset\$}} \) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: \(\text{\text{\$\subset\$}} \) \(\text{\$\subset\$} \) Continuation Sheet.
5. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. ∑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ∑ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed: 27.
Claim(s) objected to: 3.6.11-15.18.19 and 21.
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4,5,7-10,17,20 and 28.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
B. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
P. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
DANGLE PRIMARY EXAMINE: 2/3/03
Palent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to combine Bomba et al. and Endress et al. in fact, as taught by Bomba et al., one having ordinary skill in the art can replace the pipes 33 of Endress et al. with just the internal ducts 6 and 7. In addition, references may be combined although none of them explicitly suggests combining one with the other. In re Niissen, 7 USP02Q1500 (Fed. Cir. 1989). Regarding claim 9 and 10, it is noted that the location of the pipe 33 is at the increased cross-section of the rotor bars (i.e. portion 3) is larger than portion 31).